arguments they wish to present, the names and addresses of proposed participants, and an indication of the approximate time required to make their comments.

Open committee discussion. The committee will hold a preliminary discussion in preparation for drafting of future "Points to Consider for Diagnostic Imaging Agents".

Closed committee deliberations. The committee will be briefed on confidential commercial information relevant to pending IND's and NDA's. This portion of the meeting will be closed to permit discussion of this information (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4)).

Each public advisory committee meeting listed above may have as many as four separable portions: (1) An open public hearing, (2) an open committee discussion, (3) a closed presentation of data, and (4) a closed committee deliberation. Every advisory committee meeting shall have an open public hearing portion. Whether or not it also includes any of the other three portions will depend upon the specific meeting involved. The dates and times reserved for the separate portions of each committee meeting are listed above.

The open public hearing portion of each meeting shall be at least 1 hour long unless public participation does not last that long. It is emphasized, however, that the 1 hour time limit for an open public hearing represents a minimum rather than a maximum time for public participation, and an open public hearing may last for whatever longer period the committee chairperson determines will facilitate the committee's work.

Public hearings are subject to FDA's guideline (subpart C of 21 CFR part 10) concerning the policy and procedures for electronic media coverage of FDA's public administrative proceedings, including hearings before public advisory committees under 21 CFR part 14. Under 21 CFR 10.205, representatives of the electronic media may be permitted, subject to certain limitations, to videotape, film, or otherwise record FDA's public administrative proceedings, including presentations by participants.

Meetings of advisory committees shall be conducted, insofar as is practical, in accordance with the agenda published in this Federal Register notice. Changes in the agenda will be announced at the beginning of the open portion of a meeting.

Any interested person who wishes to be assured of the right to make an oral presentation at the open public hearing portion of a meeting shall inform the contact person listed above, either orally or in writing, prior to the meeting. Any person attending the hearing who does not in advance of the meeting request an opportunity to speak will be allowed to make an oral presentation at the hearing's conclusion, if time permits, at the chairperson's discretion.

The agenda, the questions to be addressed by the committee, and a current list of committee members will be available at the meeting location on the day of the meeting.

Transcripts of the open portion of the meeting may be requested in writing from the Freedom of Information Office (HFI-35), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 12A–16, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, approximately 15 working days after the meeting, at a cost of 10 cents per page. The transcript may be viewed at the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 1-23, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857, approximately 15 working days after the meeting, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. Summary minutes of the open portion of the meeting may be requested in writing from the Freedom of Information Office (address above) beginning approximately 90 days after the meeting.

The Commissioner has determined for the reasons stated that those portions of the advisory committee meetings so designated in this notice shall be closed. The Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C. app. 2, 10(d)), permits such closed advisory committee meetings in certain circumstances. Those portions of a meeting designated as closed, however, shall be closed for the shortest possible time, consistent with the intent of the cited statutes.

The FACA, as amended, provides that a portion of a meeting may be closed where the matter for discussion involves a trade secret; commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential; information of a personal nature, disclosure of which would be a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; investigatory files compiled for law enforcement purposes; information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action; and information in certain other instances not generally relevant to FDA matters.

Examples of portions of FDA advisory committee meetings that ordinarily may be closed, where necessary and in accordance with FACA criteria, include the review, discussion, and evaluation of drafts of regulations or guidelines or similar preexisting internal agency documents, but only if their premature

disclosure is likely to significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action; review of trade secrets and confidential commercial or financial information submitted to the agency; consideration of matters involving investigatory files compiled for law enforcement purposes; and review of matters, such as personnel records or individual patient records, where disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Examples of portions of FDA advisory committee meetings that ordinarily shall not be closed include the review, discussion, and evaluation of general preclinical and clinical test protocols and procedures for a class of drugs or devices; consideration of labeling requirements for a class of marketed drugs or devices; review of data and information on specific investigational or marketed drugs and devices that have previously been made public; presentation of any other data or information that is not exempt from public disclosure pursuant to the FACA, as amended; and, deliberation to formulate advice and recommendations to the agency on matters that do not independently justify closing.

This notice is issued under section 10(a)(1) and (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. app. 2), and FDA's regulations (21 CFR part 14) on advisory committees.

Dated: September 26, 1995.

David A. Kessler,

Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc. 95–24354 Filed 9–29–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

Health Care Financing Administration [ORD-079-N]

New and Pending Demonstration Project Proposals Submitted Pursuant to Section 1115(a) of the Social Security Act: June 1995

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists new proposals for Medicaid demonstration projects submitted to the Department of Health and Human Services during the month of June 1995 under the authority of section 1115 of the Social Security Act. This notice also lists proposals that were approved, disapproved, pending, or withdrawn during this time period. This notice also lists a new proposal that was submitted to the Department in March 1995 but was inadvertently

omitted from the notice of new proposals published in the Federal Register for that month. (This notice can be accessed on the Internet at HTTP:// WWW.SSA.GOV/HCFA/ HCFAHP2.HTML.)

COMMENTS: We will accept written comments on these proposals. We will, if feasible, acknowledge receipt of all comments, but we will not provide written responses to comments. We will, however, neither approve nor disapprove any new proposal for at least 30 days after the date of this notice to allow time to receive and consider comments. Direct comments as indicated below.

ADDRESSES: Mail correspondence to: Susan Anderson, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Health Care Financing Administration, Mail Stop C3–11–07, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Anderson, (410) 786–3996.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Under section 1115 of the Social Security Act (the Act), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) may consider and approve research and demonstration proposals with a broad range of policy objectives. These demonstrations can lead to improvements in achieving the purposes of the Act.

In exercising her discretionary authority, the Secretary has developed a number of policies and procedures for reviewing proposals. On September 27, 1994, we published a notice in the Federal Register (59 FR 49249) that specified (1) the principles that we ordinarily will consider when approving or disapproving demonstration projects under the authority in section 1115(a) of the Act; (2) the procedures we expect States to use in involving the public in the development of proposed demonstration projects under section 1115; and (3) the procedures we ordinarily will follow in reviewing demonstration proposals. We are committed to a thorough and expeditious review of State requests to conduct such demonstrations.

As part of our procedures, we publish a notice in the Federal Register with a monthly listing of all new submissions, pending proposals, approvals, disapprovals, and withdrawn proposals. Proposals submitted in response to a grant solicitation or other competitive process are reported as received during the month that such grant or bid is awarded, so as to prevent interference with the awards process.

II. Listing of New Proposal for the Month of March 1995

The following comprehensive health reform proposal was submitted during the month of March 1995 but was inadvertently omitted from the listing of new proposals for that month. This proposal is also included under the listing of pending comprehensive health reform proposals for the month of June 1995.

Demonstration Title/State: The Partnership Plan—New York.

Description: New York proposes to move most of the currently eligible Medicaid population and Home Relief (General Assistance) populations from a primarily fee-for-service system to a managed care environment. The State also proposes to establish special needs plans to serve individuals with HIV/ AIDS and certain children with mental illnesses. The proposed enrollment date for Home Relief and Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) recipients is November 1, 1995, followed by a one year enrollment period for the SSI population beginning January 1, 1997.

Date Received: March 17, 1995. State Contact: Richard T. Cody, Deputy Commissioner, Division of Health and Long Term Care, 40 North Pearl Street, Albany, NY 12243, (518) 474–9132.

Federal Project Officer: Debbie Van Hoven, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

III. Listing of New, Pending, Approved, and Withdrawn Proposals for the Month of June 1995

A. Comprehensive Health Reform Programs

1. New Proposals

The following comprehensive health reform proposal was received during the month of June:

Demonstration Title/State: Kentucky Health Care Partnership—Kentucky.

Description: Kentucky proposes to enroll all non-institutional AFDC, AFDC-related, and Aged, Blind, and Disabled Medicaid eligibles into regional managed care networks operated by a sole-source contractor. The proposed start date of the demonstration is December 1, 1995.

Date Received: June 19, 1995. State Contact: Larry A. McCarthy,

Director, Program Development and Budget, Department of Medicaid Services, 275 East Main Street, Frankfort, KY 40621, (406) 444–4540. Federal Project Officer: Maria Boulmetis, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

2. Pending Proposals

Demonstration Title/State: Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS)—Arizona.

Description: Arizona proposes to expand eligibility under its current section 1115 AHCCCS program to persons with incomes up to 100 percent of the Federal poverty level.

Date Received: March 17, 1995. State Contact: Mabel Chen, M.D., Director, Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System, 801 East Jefferson, Phoenix, AZ 85034, (602) 271–4422.

Federal Project Officer: Joan Peterson, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: MediPlan Plus—Illinois.

Description: Illinois seeks to develop a managed care delivery system using a series of networks, either local or statewide, to tailor its Medicaid delivery system to the needs of local urban neighborhoods or large rural areas.

Date Received: September 15, 1994. State Contact: Tom Toberman, Manager, Federal/State Monitoring, 201 South Grand Avenue East, Springfield, IL 62763, (217) 782–2570.

Federal Project Officer: Gina Clemons, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: Community Care of Kansas—Kansas.

Description: Kansas proposes to implement a "managed cooperation demonstration project" in four predominantly rural counties, and to assess the success of a non-competitive managed care model in rural areas. The demonstration would enroll recipients currently eligible in the AFDC and AFDC-related eligibility categories, and expand Medicaid eligibility to children ages 5 and under with family incomes up to 200 percent of the Federal poverty level.

Date Received: March 23, 1995. State Contact: Karl Hockenbarger, Kansas Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services, 915 Southwest Harrison Street, Topeka, KS 66612, (913) 296–4719.

Federal Project Officer: Jane Forman, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–21–04, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850. Demonstration Title/State: Louisiana Health Access—Louisiana.

Description: Louisiana proposes to implement a fully capitated statewide managed care program. A basic benefit package and a behavioral health and pharmacy wrap-around would be administered through the managed care plans. The State intends to expand Medicaid eligibility to persons with incomes up to 250 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL); those with incomes above 133 percent of the FPL would pay all or a portion of premiums.

Date Received: January 3, 1995. State Contact: Carolyn Maggio, Executive Director, Bureau of Research and Development, Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, P.O. Box 2870, Baton Rouge, LA 70821– 2871, (504) 342–2964.

Federal Project Officer: Gina Clemons, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: Missouri. Description: Missouri proposes to require Medicaid beneficiaries to enroll in managed care delivery systems, and extend Medicaid eligibility to persons with incomes below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level. As part of the program, Missouri would create a fully capitated managed care pilot program to serve non-institutionalized persons with permanent disabilities on a voluntary basis.

Date Received: June 30, 1994. State Contact: Donna Checkett, Director, Division of Medical Services, Missouri Department of Social Services, P.O. Box 6500, Jefferson City, MO 65102–6500, (314) 751–6922.

Federal Project Officer: Nancy Goetschius, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: The Granite State Partnership for Access and Affordability in Health Care—New Hampshire.

Description: New Hampshire proposes to extend Medicaid eligibility to adults with incomes below the AFDC cash standard and to create a public insurance product for low income workers. The State also seeks to implement a number of pilot initiatives to help redesign its health care delivery system.

Date Received: June 14, 1994. State Contact: Barry Bodell, New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Commissioner, 6 Hazen Drive, Concord, NH 03301–6505, (603) 271–4332. Federal Project Officer: Maria Boulmetis, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: The Partnership Plan—New York.

Description: New York proposes to move most of the currently eligible Medicaid population and Home Relief (General Assistance) populations from a primarily fee-for-service system to a managed care environment. The State also proposes to establish special needs plans to serve individuals with HIV/ AIDS and certain children with mental illnesses. The proposed enrollment date for Home Relief and Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) recipients is November 1, 1995, followed by a 1-year enrollment period for the SSI population beginning January 1, 1997.

Date Received: March 17, 1995. State Contact: Richard T. Cody, Deputy Commissioner, Division of Health and Long Term Care, 40 North Pearl Street, Albany, NY 12243, (518) 474–9132.

Federal Project Officer: Debbie Van Hoven, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: SoonerCare—Oklahoma.

Description: Oklahoma proposes to implement a 5-year statewide managed care demonstration using both fully and partially capitated delivery systems. The emphasis of the program is to address access problems in rural areas by encouraging the development of ruralbased managed care initiatives. The State will employ traditional fully capitated managed care delivery models for urban areas and will introduce a series of partial capitation models in the rural areas of the State. All currently eligible, non-institutionalized Medicaid beneficiaries will be enrolled during the first 2 years of the project.

Date Received: January 6, 1995. State Contact: Dr. Garth Splinter, Oklahoma Health Care Authority, Lincoln Plaza, 4545 North Lincoln Blvd., Suite 124, Oklahoma City, OK 73105, (405) 530–3439.

Federal Project Officer: Helaine I. Fingold, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: Health Access Plan Demonstration—Vermont.

Description: Vermont proposes to integrate Medicaid recipients into managed care plans and expand coverage to uninsured individuals up to 150 percent of the Federal poverty level. The State also proposes to provide pharmacy coverage to low-income Medicare beneficiaries.

Date Received: February 24, 1995. State Contact: Veronica Celani, Health Policy Director, Vermont Agency of Human Services, 103 State Street, Waterbury, VT 05671, (802) 828–2949.

Federal Project Officer: Sherrie Fried, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

3. Approved Conceptual Proposals (Awards of Waivers Pending)

No conceptual proposals were approved during the month of June.

4. Approved Grant Proposals (Award of Waivers Pending)

No grant proposals were awarded during the month of June.

5. Approved Proposals

No comprehensive health reform proposals were approved during the month of June.

6. Disapproved Proposals

No comprehensive health reform proposals have been disapproved since January 1, 1993.

7. Withdrawn Proposals

No comprehensive health reform proposals were withdrawn during the month of June.

B. Other Section 1115 Demonstration Proposals

1. New Proposals

The following new proposals were received during the month of June.

Demonstration Title/State: Alternatives in Medicaid Home Care Demonstration—Colorado.

Description: Colorado proposes to conduct a pilot project that eliminates the restriction on provision of Medicaid home health services in locations other than the recipient's place of residence. The proposal would also permit nursing aides to perform functions which historically have been provided only by skilled nursing staff. Medicaid recipients participating in the project will be adults (including both frail elderly clients and younger clients with disabilities) who can live independently and self-direct their own care. The project would provide for delegation of specific functions from nurses to certified nurses aides, pay nurses for

shorter supervision and monitoring visits, and allow higher payments to aides performing delegated nursing tasks. Currently, home health agency nursing and nurse aide services are paid on a per visit basis. Each visit is approximately 2–4 hours in duration, and recipients must require skilled, hands-on care.

Date Received: June 3, 1995.

State Contact: Dann Milne, Director, Department of Health Care Policy and Financing, 1575 Sherman Street, Denver, CO 80203–1714, (303) 866– 5912

Federal Project Officer: Phyllis Nagy, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–21–06, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: Montana Mental Health Access Plan—Montana.

Description: Montana proposes to provide all mental health services for current Medicaid eligibles through managed care and to expand Medicaid eligibility to persons with incomes up to 200 percent of the Federal poverty level. Newly eligible individuals would receive only mental health benefits, and would not be eligible for other health services under the demonstration. A single state-wide contractor would provide the mental health services and also determine eligibility, perform inspections, and handle credentialing.

Date Received: June 16, 1995. State Contact: Nancy Ellery, State Medicaid Director, Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services, P.O. Box 4210, 111 North Sanders, Helena, MT 59604–4210, (406) 444–4540.

Federal Project Officer: Nancy Goetschius, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

2. Pending Proposals

Demonstration Title/State: Georgia's Children's Benefit Plan—Georgia.

Description: Georgia submitted a section 1115 proposal entitled "Georgia Children's Benefit Plan" to provide preventive and primary care services to children aged 1 through 5 living in families with incomes between 133 percent and 185 percent of the Federal poverty level. The duration of the project is 5 years with proposed project dates of July 1, 1995 to June 30, 2000.

Date Received: December 12, 1994. State Contact: Jacquelyn Foster-Rice, Georgia Department of Medical Assistance, 2 Peachtree Street Northwest, Atlanta, GA 30303–3159, (404) 651–5785. Federal Project Officer: Maria Boulmetis, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–18–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: High Cost User Initiative—Maryland.

Description: Maryland proposes to implement an integrated case management system for high-cost, high-risk Medicaid recipients.

Date Received: July 8, 1994. State Contact: John Folkemer, Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Office of Medical Assistance Policy, 201 West Preston Street, Baltimore, MD 21201, (410) 225– 5206.

Federal Project Officer: William Clark, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–21–06, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: Family Planning Services Section 1115 Waiver

Request—Michigan.

Description: Michigan seeks to extend Medicaid eligibility for family planning services to all women of childbearing age with incomes at or below 185 percent of the Federal poverty level, and to provide an additional benefit package consisting of home visits, outreach services to identify eligibility, and reinforced support for utilization of services. The duration of the project is 5 years.

Date Received: March 27, 1995. State Contact: Gerald Miller, Director, Department of Social Services, 235 South Grand Avenue, Lansing, MI 48909, (517) 335–5117.

Federal Project Officer: Suzanne Rotwein, Ph.D., Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–24–07, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: Family Planning Proposal—New Mexico.

Description: New Mexico proposes to extend Medicaid eligibility for family planning services to all women of childbearing age with incomes at or below 185 percent of the Federal poverty level.

Date Received: November 1, 1994. State Contact: Bruce Weydemeyer, Director, Division of Medical Assistance, P.O. Box 2348, Santa Fe, NM 87504–2348, (505) 827–3106.

Federal Project Officer: Suzanne Rotwein, Ph.D., Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–24–07, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: CHOICES—Citizenship, Health,

Opportunities, Interdependence, Choices and Supports—Rhode Island.

Description: Rhode Island proposes to consolidate all current State and Federal funding streams for adults with developmental disabilities under one program using managed care/managed competition.

Date Received: April 5, 1994. State Contact: Susan Babin, Department of Mental Health, Retardation, and Hospitals, Division of Developmental Disabilities, 600 New London Avenue, Cranston, RI 02920, (401) 464–3234.

Federal Project Officer: Melissa McNiff, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–21–06, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: Family Planning Services Eligibility

Requirements Waiver—South Carolina. *Description:* South Carolina proposes to extend Medicaid coverage for family planning services for 22 additional months to postpartum women with monthly incomes under 185 percent of the Federal poverty level. The objectives of the demonstration are to increase the number of reproductive age women receiving either Title XIX or Title X funded family planning services following the completion of a pregnancy, increase the period between pregnancies among mothers eligible for maternity services under the expanded eligibility provisions of Medicaid, and estimate the overall savings in Medicaid spending attributable to providing family planning services to women for 2 years postpartum. The duration of the proposed project would be 5 years.

Date Received: May 4, 1995. State Contact: Eugene A. Laurent, Executive Director, State Health and Human Services Finance Commission, P.O. Box 8206, Columbia, SC 29202– 8206, (803) 253–6100.

Federal Project Officer: Suzanne Rotwein, Ph.D., Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–24–07, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

Demonstration Title/State: Wisconsin. Description: Wisconsin proposes to limit the amount of exempt funds that may be set aside as burial and related expenses for SSI-related Medicaid recipients.

Date Received: March 9, 1994. State Contact: Jean Sheil, Division of Economic Support, Wisconsin Department of Health and Social Services, 1 West Wilson Street, Room 650, P.O. Box 7850, Madison, WI 53707, (608) 266–0613. Federal Project Officer: J. Donald Sherwood, Health Care Financing Administration, Office of Research and Demonstrations, Mail Stop C3–16–26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244–1850.

3. Approved Conceptual Proposals (Award of Waivers Pending)

No conceptual proposals were awarded during the month of June.

4. Approved Proposals

No proposals were approved during the month of June.

5. Disapproved Proposals

No proposals were disapproved during the month of June.

6. Withdrawn Proposals

No proposals were withdrawn during the month of June.

IV. Requests for Copies of a Proposal

Requests for copies of a specific Medicaid proposal should be made to the State contact listed for the specific proposal. If further help or information is needed, inquiries should be directed to HCFA at the address above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program, No. 93.779; Health Financing Research, Demonstrations, and Experiments.) Dated: September 22, 1995.

Bruce C. Vladek,

Administrator, Health Care Financing Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–24400 Filed 9–29–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

Health Resources and Services Administration

Proposed Data Collections Available for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the HRSA Reports Clearance Officer on (301) 443–1129.

Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Proposed Projects

1. National Practitioner Data Bank for Adverse Information on Physicians and Other Health Care Practitioners: Regulations and Forms (OMB No. 0915-0126)—Extension, No Change—The Data Bank forms and regulations received a short-term approval in June 1995. As part of the terms of clearance, HRSA was required to submit an updated analysis of small medical malpractice payments (concerning the issue of monetary threshold reporting of claims) and provide OMB with an updated chart of the distribution of malpractice awards. The requirements have been satisfied and the results of the analysis have been forwarded to OMB. The Data Bank regulations and forms are now being resubmitted for a 3-year approval. This request is for an extension with no changes. The burden estimates are as follows:

Title	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Number of responses	Hours per re- sponse	Total bur- den hours
60.6(a) Reporting Corrections of Errors and Omissions	2,800	1.04	2,925	.25	731
60.6(b) Revisions to Original Report Actions	350	1.06	370	.75	278
60.7(b) Reporting Medical Malpractice Payments	150	105.33	15,800	.75	11,850
60.8(b) Reporting Licensure Action by State Boards	125	21.02	2,630	.75	1,973
60.9(a) Reporting Privileging and Professional Society Actions	1,000	1.08	1,075	.75	806
60.9(c) Request for Hearings by Entitles Found in Noncompliance	1	1	1	8.00	8
60.10(a)(1) Hospital Queries on Applicants; 60.11(a)(1) Other					
Hospital Queries; 60.11(a)(6) Queries for Professional Review .	7,200	38.33	276,000	.08	23,000
60.10(a)(2) Biennial Queries by Hospitals	6,000	186.83	1,121,000	.08	93,417
60.11(a)(2) Practitioner Queries	29,000	1	29,000	.25	7,250
60.11(a)(3) State Licensure Board Queries	70	171	12,000	.08	1,000
60.11(a)(4) Queries by Nonhospital Health Care Entities	1,860	139.78	260,000	.08	21,667
60.11(a)(5) Queries by Attorneys	10	1	10	.25	3
60.11(a)(7) Queries for Research Purposes	100	1	1	1.00	100
60.14(b) Practitioner's Disputing Data Bank Reports	1,080	1	1,080	.17	180
60.14(b) Practitioner Requests for Secretarial Review	100	1	100	8.00	800
60.14(b) Practitioner Statements	2,700	1	2,700	1.00	2,700
Biennial Entity Verification Document	5,750	1	5,750	.25	1,438
Entity File Update	1,150	1	1,150	.25	288

Note: Estimated Total Annual Burden: 167,489

2. Survey of Exchange Visitor
Physicians Remaining in the United
States on a Waiver—NEW—
Announcement is made of the intention
to survey exchange visitor physicians,
i.e., physicians who entered the United
States on a J–1 visa to engage in
graduate medical education, who have
been granted waivers to the return home
requirement. Exchange visitor foreign

physicians receive a J–1 visa and agree to return to their home country or country of last residence for a minimum of two years upon completing their training. The Department of Health and Human Services needs information about practice specialty and site of these physicians to make informed decisions regarding the implementation of waiver policy. A survey will be conducted to

obtain the following items of information: (1) marital status; (2) basis of waiver; (3) initial and current geographic location; (4) initial and current medical specialty; (5) number of years of training completed in the U.S.; (6) changes of venue after initial practice site; (7) sequence of specialties after initial practice specialty.